Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA) - Flin Flon / Creighton Community Advisory Committee (CAC)

September 2, 2009 – Flin Flon City Hall Meeting #12

Attendance

Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Membership								
Don Aasen	Х	Bev Hill	Х	Deb Odegaard	Х			
Town of Creighton	^	NorMan Regional Health	^	FF&D Env't Council	^			
Robert Bratvold		Tom Lindsey	Х	Bill Pauley				
Creighton School Board		Steelworkers Union	^	Flin Flon School Division				
Katie Garinger		Charlene Logan	Х	Dave Price	Х			
General Public		MCRRHA	^	Green Project	^			
Ian Cooper	Х	Barb Lyons	Х	Bill Hanson				
HBMS	^	General Public	^	City of Flin Flon				
Cheryl Hordal	Х	Christa McIntyre	Х					
General Public	^	Healthy Flin Flon	^					

Guests / Other						
Sheldon McLeod (Facilitator)	Shirley Neault (Recorder)	Brad Lantz				
S.L. McLeod Consulting	HBMS	HBMS				
TAC Member (Observer)	Alan Hair (via telephone)	Elliot Sigal				
George Bihun (via telephone)	HBMS	Intrinsik Environmental				
SK Environment						
TAC Member (Observer)	Dr. Susan Roberecki (via	Blair Jackson				
Dave Bezak	telephone)	Goss Gilroy Inc.				
MB Conservation	MB Health & Healthy Living					
Dr. Lawrence Elliott (via	Dr. James Irvine (via	Celine Pinsent				
telephone)	telephone)	Goss Gilroy Inc.				
NOR-MAN Health Region	Churchill River Health Region					
4 Evaluation of Exposure	3 Public Observers					
Study Field Team Members						

Introduction

- Facilitator Sheldon McLeod called the meeting to order and reviewed the agenda.
- A review of the draft notes of the previous meeting was conducted. The notes were approved.
- Action Items from the previous meeting were reviewed. The status of these action items is summarized in the tables at the end of these notes.

<u>Member's Commentary – Community Feedback</u>

- Each CAC member was given the opportunity to share what they are hearing in the community regarding the HHRA.
 - It is very quiet.
 - A few members mentioned that the only question they are being asked is, "Why not test adults?".

Status of HHRA / Independent Peer Review Process

- Sheldon McLeod provided an update on the IERP:
 - The draft report from the peer review panel via TERA was returned to the panel members for final review earlier this week. The report is expected to be ready to circulate to the TAC members by September 8.

- Elliot Sigal of Intrinsik provided an update on the HHRA:
 - The schedule for completion of the report remains unchanged from last meeting.
 - When the TAC and Intrinsik receive the IERP report from TERA, Intrinsik will respond to comments and return those to the IERP. Concurrently, the HHRA report will be revised based on the same comments.
 - Then the risk assessment can be returned to the TAC for final technical review. Concurrently, the evaluation of exposure study will be done.

Question: What in the draft HHRA report prompted the evaluation of exposure study?

Response: The potential levels of exposure from all of the sources (e.g. soil, water, fish, etc.) were

elevated enough to warrant further investigation.

Question: Does testing throughout the community skew the data?

Response: No because concentration trends will be analyzed to determine if geographic proximity to

the facility or other influences are impacting the results.

Further Evaluation of Environmental Exposure

Reference Documentation – September_2_2009 update to CAC

• Blair Jackson and Dr. Celine Pinsent from Goss Gilroy presented further information on the environmental exposure study. Highlights included:

- The study will begin immediately. Some of the study team were present at the meeting and were introduced.
- To determine who will be asked to participate, a statistician-developed sampling frame was
 used. This looks at the populations, the geographic distribution of those populations and the
 number of people in those areas or frames.
- A sample size of approximately 400 (out of 1200 local children) is the goal. Approximately 200 children from West Flin Flon, 100 from East Flin Flon and 100 from Creighton / Channing will be asked to participate. Children between approximately 3-7 years old will provide both urine and blood samples. Those older will provide urine only while those younger will provide blood only.

Comment: You should consider sampling children who live at the area lakes but regularly

spend time in Flin Flon / Creighton (e.g. to attend school). There are also

approximately 1500 people who visit the area for extended periods between May

and October. Consider sampling them.

Response: If no issue is found in children who are tested and live in town, then those that

reside outside of the immediate exposure area should also be fine.

Comment: It may make people more comfortable with participating if the names of the CAC

members are included with the letters being distributed, rather than just the

names of the study team.

Response: Great idea, if the CAC members are okay with it (permission granted by

members) (see action #043).

Comment: Test adults.

Response: Testing adults could confuse the study because a large database of information

already exists as a result of ongoing testing conducted on HBMS employees. This group of adults has different exposures that are controlled by various

means.

Question: Could the evaluation of exposure study lead to adult testing?

Response: If concerns are uncovered, then there may be potential for further testing.

Question: So, we are testing children but the overall exposure for the community as a

whole will be reported based on the results?

Response: Yes and the results will determine what, if any, risk management in the

community is required.

Question: Is there any danger of transferring contamination amongst other family

members?

Response: Contact with blood or other bodily fluids will not transfer metal contamination.

Evaluation of Environmental Exposure – Communications Strategy

Reference Documentation – Communications_schedule_Responsibilities _Aug 31; FAQs_for_CAC_Communications_Sept 2; Invitation Letter (Hard copies of these documents provided at the meeting)

The communications strategy was reviewed:

- Randomly selected participants will receive a letter, a 2-page description of the study and the list
 of CAC members and their affiliations.
- Two to three days after the above documents are delivered, the home will be visited by a study team member to follow-up and potentially make appointments for completing the consent form and conducting the interview.
- The potential participant selection process is :
 - Municipal tax rolls were reviewed to obtain residential addresses, without knowing if anybody lives at the address or not and, if so, who they are.
 - Send the initial invitation package to all residential addresses in West Flin Flon.
 - Randomly select 100 households from the remaining addresses.
 - Visit the homes 3-4 times.
 - If x number of homes are not eligible (e.g. no children live there), then another x number of homes are randomly selected.
- Residents who are not invited to participate, but are eligible (have children under 15 years of age) can participate. The data generated from this group will be used a little differently (statistically).

Question: Could posters also be put up around town?

Response: Yes that is a good idea. The poster could also be distributed electronically.

Comment: The city and town offices should also be supplied with information.

Response: Agreed (see action #044)

Question: What is the CAC's communication role?

Response: Exactly what has transpired to date regarding input into the communication

materials and throughout tonight's meeting. Get the word out! Ongoing, weekly email updates will be provided by the study team. CAC members are asked to

provide feedback as you receive it.

Comment: The study team needs to be upfront about the time commitment, so participants

do not get annoyed because, "it took twice as long as you promised".

Response: Agreed.

Comment: To appease the current concerns regarding testing adults, you should sample 50

people and analyze the results separately.

Response: None.

Question: In the Port Colborne study, only risks from metals in supermarket foods were

analyzed. How is that issue going to be avoided here?

Response:

Our study is total exposure that we will not be able to say is 25% from this source, 10% from that source, and so on. But, we may be able to associate some activity with the results and we can compare our results with other communities not impacted by similar industrial processes.

- A local resident who has been conducting a 50-year ecological study in the area that will end in 2017 provided some additional area information:
 - The surface water from Trout Lake (Flin Flon's water supply) is more pure and safer than most bottled water, indicating that acid rain is not a problem.
 - As part of his study, he has regularly had his hair, tissue and fingernails analyzed with no issues found.
 - The local blueberries are safe to eat. Another researcher has proven that low bush berries do not draw in toxins; only the leaves and surrounding soil does.
 - To ingest the same volume of toxins from food sources, a person could consume 1000 pounds of blueberries or 1 pound of salmon or tuna.
- The group was asked to consider if a CAC spokesperson should be named. The terms of reference allow for this to happen and one may be needed in 2-3 months.
- The evaluation of exposure study team could use 2-3 youth to assist with the study. These are paid positions. Interested persons 18 years or older can contact Blair if they are interested.

#	Action	Responsibility	Target Date	Status
032	Offer suggestions for engaging the	CAC Members	2008-10-15	2008-06-17, new
	public when the final report is ready			2008-09-10, ongoing
				2008-11-19, ongoing
				2009-04-15, ongoing
				2009-06-01, ongoing
				2009-07-06, ongoing
				2009-09-02, ongoing
041	Send draft communications package	Goss Gilroy /	2009-07-31	2009-07-06, new
	to CAC members	Sheldon		2009-09-02,
		McLeod		Complete
042	Comment on draft communication	CAC Members	2009-08-07	2009-07-06, new
	package			2009-09-02,
				Complete
043	Prepare a list of CAC members and	Ian Cooper	2009-09-03	2009-09-02, new
	affiliations / have copies made for			
	inclusion with the invitation letters			
044	Supply city and town offices with	Ian Cooper	2009-09-04	2009-09-02, new
	exposure study information packages	•		

NEXT MEETING: Thursday, October 22, 2009 from 6:30 pm – 8:30 pm

Location: FF City Hall